ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Power Sources



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Short communication

Preparation and characterization of Ti⁴⁺-doped LiFePO₄ cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries

She-huang Wu^{a,*}, Mao-Sung Chen^a, Chao-Jung Chien^b, Yen-Pei Fu^b

^a Department of Materials Engineering, Tatung University 40, Changshan N. Rd., Sec. 3, Taipei 104, Taiwan

^b Department of Materials Engineering, National Dong Hwa University 1, Da Hsueh Rd., Sec. 2, Shoufeng, Hualien 974, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 July 2008 Received in revised form 1 January 2009 Accepted 8 January 2009 Available online 19 January 2009

Keywords: Lithium iron phosphate Olivine structure Lithium-ion battery

ABSTRACT

Olivine structured LiFePO₄ (lithium iron phosphate) and Ti⁴⁺-doped LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄ ($0.01 \le x \le 0.09$) powders were synthesized via a solution route followed by heat-treatment at 700 °C for 8 h under N₂ flowing atmosphere. The compositions, crystalline structure, morphology, carbon content, and specific surface area of the prepared powders were investigated with ICP-OES, XRD, TEM, SEM, EA, and BET. Capacity retention study was used to investigate the effects of Ti⁴⁺ partial substitution on the intercalation/deintercalation of Li⁺ ions in the olivine structured cathode materials. Among the prepared powders, LiFe_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}PO₄ manifests the most promising cycling performance as it was cycled with C/10, C/5, C/2, 1C, 2C, and 3C rate. It showed initial discharge capacity of 135 mAh g⁻¹ at 30 °C with C/10 rate. From the results of GSAS refinement for the prepared samples, the doped-Ti⁴⁺ ions did not occupy the Fe²⁺ sites as expected. However, the occupancy of the doped Ti⁴⁺ doped LiFePO₄ samples formed solid solutions at low doping levels while TiO₂ was also observed with TEM in samples prepared with doping level higher than 5 mol%.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Since Sony Energy Tech Inc. published the first rechargeable lithium-ion batteries system in 1991, lithium-ion batteries have been extensively used for electronic products, such as digital cameras, laptop computers, and mobile phones. Because their high specific capacity, high energy density, low weight, excellent cycling performance, and low impact to environment, lithium-ion batteries have attracted a lot of attentions [1]. They had become the principal power sources for portable electronic devices. Several types of cathode materials have been introduced for lithium-ion batteries, such as LiCoO₂ [2], LiNiO₂ [3], LiMn₂O₄ [4], LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ [5], and LiFePO₄ [6,7]. Among the cathode materials, LiFePO₄ has been recognized as one of the most promising cathode materials for large format lithium batteries because of its high theoretical capacity of 170 mAh g⁻¹, environmental benign, and high thermal stability since the report done by Padhi et al. was published in 1997 [8]. The three-dimensional framework structure of LiFePO₄ is not built on close packing of oxygen anions, but built on PO₄³⁻ polyanions and octahedral MO₆ groups (M = Fe, Li) that make the cells comprised with this material manifest higher thermal stability than others. However, this material is suffered from its low electronic conductivity and low Li⁺ ions diffusivity in the LiFePO₄ host structure. There are many possible ways to improve the rate capability of LiFePO₄ materials, such as coating with conductive carbon [9,10], reducing the particle size [11], and doping with various cations [12–14]. In this study, Ti⁴⁺-doped LiFePO₄ (LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄, $0 \le x \le 0.09$) powders were prepared via a solution route. The physical and electrochemical properties of the prepared powders were investigated.

2. Experimental

Ti⁴⁺-doped LiFePO₄ (LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄, 0 ≤ x ≤ 0.09) powders were synthesized via a solution route. Iron powder (NC100.24, 99%, Hoganas, Sweden) was dissolved in aqueous solution of citric acid (99.5%, Wako Pure Chem. Ind., Ltd., Japan) and H₃PO₄ (85%, Wako Pure Chem. Ind., Ltd., Japan), TiO₂ (99.9%, Wako Pure Chem. Ind., Ltd.), and 10 wt% of sucrose were added into the iron-dissolved citric solution. Then the mixtures were heated and stirred at 50 °C to become dry. The precursors were heated at temperatures ranging between 650 and 750 °C for 8 h in flowing N₂ atmosphere. The compositions, crystalline structures, morphologies, carbon content, and specific surface areas of the prepared powder were investigated by ICP-OES (Optima 2100, Perkin Elmer), XRD (beam line 01C2, NSRRC, Tai-

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 2 25922458; fax: +886 2 25936897. *E-mail address*: shwu@ttu.edu.tw (S.-h. Wu).

^{0378-7753/\$ -} see front matter © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2009.01.015



Fig. 1. XRD patterns of the LiFePO4 powders finally prepared at 650, 700, and 750 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 8 h, respectively.

wan), FEG-TEM (JEM-2100, JEOL), element analyzer (EA, Vario EL III, Heraeus Elementar), and BET (SA 3100, Beckman Coulter TM).

The composite cathodes were prepared by mixing with the prepared powders, acetylene black (99.99%, Strem Chemicals Inc., USA), and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF, Kynar 740, ELF, Germany) in the weight ratio of 83:10:7 with adequate amount of N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, ultra, ISP Technologies Inc., U.S.A.) to become slurries. After coating on Al foil, cathode disks with diameter of 10 mm were prepared by drying, punching, and pressing. The prepared cathodes were assembled into CR2032 coin-type cells with Li anode and 1 M LiPF₆ in EC-PC-DEC (volume ratio of 1:1:1) electrolyte (Tomiyama, Japan) in an argon-filled glove box. The capacity retention studies of the prepared powders were performed by cycling the coin-type cells with various C rates within cutoff voltages of 2.5 and 4.3 V at 30°C.

3. Results and discussion

No significant composition difference among the powders prepared at temperatures between 650 and 750 °C was determined by ICP-OES. From the XRD patterns shown in Fig. 1, olivine structure (orthorhombic *Pnma*) is observed exclusively in the pure LiFePO₄ powders prepared by calcinations at 300 °C for 8 h and then heat-treatment at temperatures ranging between 650 and 750 °C for 8 h. The sample prepared at 700 °C exhibits larger values in calculated lattice parameters than others. It was also found the carbon content determined by EA decreased from 4.5 wt% in 650 °C prepared powder to 4 wt% in 750 °C sample, while the specific surface area determined by BET decreased linearly from 55 to 48 m² g⁻¹ as the heat-treatment temperature was increased from 650 to 750 °C.

The initial charge/discharge curves of the powders prepared at various temperatures are shown in Fig. 2. They show voltage plateaus at 3.41-3.45 V versus Li/Li⁺ as the cells were charged which corresponding to the phase transition from triphylite LiFePO₄ to heterosite FePO₄, and voltage plateaus at 3.37-3.38 V as the cells were discharged. The initial specific discharge capacity of pure LiFePO₄ samples heat-treated at 650, 700, and 750 °C are 120, 131, and 121 mAh g⁻¹ at C/10 rate, respectively. It is found that the sample prepared at 700 °C for 8 h does not only show the highest charge/discharge capacities, but also demonstrates the smallest voltage difference between charge and discharge plateaus among the samples prepared at various temperatures. Therefore, Ti⁴⁺-doped LiFePO₄ powders were heat-treated at 700 °C for 8 h thereafter.

From the results of the composition determination, it was found that the 700 °C prepared LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄ powders show molar ratios



Fig. 2. Initial charge/discharge curves of the cells comprised with LiFePO₄ powders prepared at 650, 700, and 750 $^{\circ}$ C for 8 h.

among Li, Fe, Ti, and P close to those of expected values, respectively. In addition to the olivine phase, Li_3PO_4 phase is also detected in the $LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO_4$ powders prepared by calcinations at 300 °C for 8 h and then heat treating at 700 °C for 8 h, as shown in Fig. 3. That may be attributed to the powders having been prepared without considering the valence effect [15]. Li_3PO_4 phase had been usually reported as impurity in aliovalent-doped LiFePO₄ samples [16]. The patterns were refined with GSAS (General Structure Analysis Sys-



Fig. 3. (A) XRD patterns of $\text{LiFe}_{1-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{PO}_4$ ($0 \le x \le 0.09$) powders prepared at 700 °C for 8 h and (B) enlargements of the XRD patterns of (a) LiFePO₄, (b) LiFe_{0.99}Ti_{0.01}PO₄, and (c) LiFe_{0.91}Ti_{0.09}PO₄ powders.

Table 1

Lattice parameters of the 700 °C prepared LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄ powders obtained from GSAS refinement by assuming Ti⁴⁺ ions occupy Fe²⁺ sites. The values in brackets were calculated by the least square method without refinement.

Sample	Lattice parameter		
	a (Å)	b (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)
LiFePO ₄	10.2722(1) [10.30(4)]	5.9752(2) [5.99(0)]	4.6688(4) [4.696(6)]
LiFe _{0.99} Ti _{0.01} PO ₄	10.3748(5) [10.31(7)]	6.0329(0) [6.00(9)]	4.7149(1)[4.699(6)]
LiFe _{0.97} Ti _{0.03} PO ₄	10.3781(7) [10.30(2)]	6.0361(7) [6.03(8)]	4.7157(9) [4.706(8)]
LiFe _{0.95} Ti _{0.05} PO ₄	10.3772(4) [10.29(6)]	6.0349(8) [5.99(2)]	4.7153(1) [4.694(6)]
LiFe _{0.93} Ti _{0.07} PO ₄	10.3757(2) [10.29(4)]	6.0343(5) [5.98(6)]	4.7150(9) [4.697(2)]
LiFe _{0.91} Ti _{0.09} PO ₄	10.3739(4) [10.29(2)]	6.0302(8) [5.99(0)]	4.7090(3) [4.702(8)]



Fig. 4. Typical results of Rietveld refinements with GSAS for LiFe_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}PO₄ powder heat-treated at 700 °C for 8 h (R_{wp} = 4.48% and R_p = 3.23).

tem) until $R_{wp} < 10\%$ and $R_{wp}/R_p < 1.5$ by assuming Ti⁴⁺ ions occupy the Fe²⁺ sites. The typical results are shown in Fig. 4. The calculated lattice parameters of the 700 °C prepared LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄ are shown in Table 1. Significant increases in parameters *a*, *b*, and *c* are found when 1 mol% of Fe²⁺ ions were substituted by Ti⁴⁺ ions, while the differences in a, b, and c between those of LiFe_{0.99} Ti_{0.01} PO₄ and LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄ ($0.03 \le x \le 0.09$) are small. The LiFe_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}PO₄ sample exhibits the largest values in *a*, *b*, and *c* among the prepared powders. Similar results were also obtained by simply combining the Bragg's law and the plane-spacing equation, shown in brackets in Table 1. These results seems not to be consistent with the expected results that the lattice parameters decrease with increasing amount of Ti⁴⁺ substitution for Fe²⁺ ions, since the ionic radius of Ti⁴⁺ is smaller than that of Fe²⁺. That may support the suggestion of Islam et al. that LiFePO₄ is not tolerant to aliovalent doping on energetic grounds [17]. However, titanium compounds were not detected in the Ti⁴⁺-doped samples till doping level higher than 5 mol%. It can be supported by the uniform distribution of Ti ions in the LiFe_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}PO₄ powders revealed by the EDX mapping performed with FEG-TEM, shown in Fig. 5. From the SAD pattern of the circled area, shown in Fig. 6, value of 2.562 Å for d_{311} of olivine was obtained by Gatan Digital-Micrograph. This value is higher than that of the un-substituted LiFePO₄ (2.520 Å). These results manifest that the Ti⁴⁺-doped samples may form solid solutions at low Ti⁴⁺-doping levels while TiO₂ particles form in samples with doping level higher than 5 mol%. Though these results suggest Ti⁴⁺ ions do not occupy Fe²⁺ sites, the occupancy of Ti⁴⁺ ions are still not clear and theoretical calculations are needed for further studies. Nevertheless, the enlargement in lattice parameters may enhance the diffusivity of Li⁺ ions in olivine structure as suggested by previous reports [18].



Fig. 5. (A) FEG-TEM photographs of the prepared LiFe_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}PO₄ sample and the corresponding EDX of (B) Ti, (C) Fe, (D) P.



Fig. 6. SAD pattern of the circled area is shown in Fig. 5.

For comparison, the initial charge/discharge curves of the cointype cells comprised with $\text{LiFe}_{1-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{PO}_4$ ($0 \le x \le 0.09$) samples are shown in Fig. 7. Voltage plateaus at 3.43–3.45 V versus Li/Li⁺ are observed during charge, whereas voltage plateaus at 3.35–3.38 V are found from the discharge curves of the prepared samples. $\text{LiFe}_{0.97}\text{Ti}_{0.03}\text{PO}_4$ sample heat-treated at 700 °C for 8 h shows the smallest voltage difference between the initial charge/discharge plateaus and the highest initial discharge capacity of 135 mAh g⁻¹ among the prepared $\text{LiFe}_{1-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{PO}_4$ ($0 \le x \le 0.09$) samples as cells were cycled with C/10 rate.

The results of capacity retention study of the 700 °C heat-treated LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄ samples performed at 30 °C with C/10 rate between cutoff voltages of 2.5 and 4.3 V are shown in Fig. 8. Negligible capacity fade are found and reversible capacities of 131, 126, 135, 123, 126, and 109 mAh g⁻¹ are measured for cells comprised with various LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄ samples with x = 0, 0.01, 0.03, 0.05, 0.07, and0.09, respectively. LiFe_{0.97} Ti_{0.03} PO_4 sample exhibits the highest reversible capacity among the prepared LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄ (0 < x < 0.09) powders. That may be attributed to the sample has the largest parameters in b and c, thus it shows higher Li⁺ ions diffusivity than others [19,20]. For comparison, the results of capacity retention study for cells comprised with LiFePO4 and LiFe0.97Ti0.03PO4 cathode materials cycled with C/10, 1C, and 3C rates are plotted in Fig. 9. Improvement in rate capability is also observed by Ti⁴⁺-doping. It may also be attributed to the enlargement of the lattice parameters that make the diffusion of Li⁺ ions become easier than in LiFePO₄.

Comparing with the results reported by Wang et al. [21], a Ti^{4+} -doped sample, LiFe_{0.99} $Ti_{0.01}$ PO₄ prepared sol–gel route, exhibited specific discharge capacities of 165 and 120 mAh g⁻¹ with C/8



Fig. 7. Initial charge/discharge curves of the coin-type cells comprised with 700 °C prepared LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄ ($0 \le x \le 0.09$) cathodes.



Fig. 8. Cycling performance of the coin-type cells comprised with 700 °C prepared LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄ ($0 \le x \le 0.09$) powders with C/10 rate.



Fig. 9. Cycling performance of the cells comprised with LiFe_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}PO_4 (filled) and LiFePO_4 (open) electrodes prepared at 700 °C with various C rates.

and C/2 rates, respectively. The specific reversible capacities of the LiFe_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}PO₄ sample prepared in this study are lower than those reported previously. That may be caused by the differences in primary particle size of the prepared powders, composition and thickness of the prepared cathodes for testing.

4. Conclusions

Olivine structured LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO₄ ($0 \le x \le 0.09$) powders were prepared via a solution route by dissolving iron powder in mixed

solution of citric acid and phosphoric acid. In additional to the olivine phase, Li_3PO_4 phase was also observed in the 700 °C heat-treated $LiFe_{1-x}Ti_xPO_4$ samples. From the variation of lattice parameters with amount of Ti^{4+} doping, Ti^{4+} -doped samples became solid solutions at low Ti^{4+} -doping levels while TiO_2 also formed as the doping level higher than 5 mol%. Ti^{4+} ions did not occupy the Fe²⁺ sites, though the details are still not clear. $LiFe_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}PO_4$ sample showed the highest reversible capacity among the prepared powders and manifested improved rate capability. That may be attributed to it has larger lattice parameters in *b* and *c* than those of other samples prepared in this study. The $LiFe_{0.97}Ti_{0.03}PO_4$ sample exhibited specific discharge capacity of 135, 127, 116, 107, and 81 mAh g⁻¹ as it was cycled with C/10, C/5, C/2, 1C, and 3C rates.

Acknowledgements

The study had been financially supported by the National Science Council of Taiwan and Tatung University. The authors are also grateful for the instrumental supports by NSRRC and Academia Sinica of Taiwan.

References

[1] J.M. Tarascon, M.D. Guyomard, J. Electrochem. Soc. 139 (1991) 2864.

- [2] T. Ohzuku, A. Ueda, M. Nagayama, Y. Iwakoshi, H. Komori, Electrochim. Acta 38 (1993) 1159.
- [3] H. Arai, S. Okada, H. Ohtsuka, M. Ichimura, J. Yamaki, Solid State Ionics 80 (1995) 261.
- [4] W.S. Yoon, K.Y. Chung, K.H. Oh, K.B. Kim, J. Power Sources 119–121 (2003) 706.
- [5] D.C. Li, T. Muta, L.Q. Zhang, M. Yoshio, H. Noguchi, J. Power Sources 132 (2004) 150.
- [6] D. Choi, P.N. Kumta, J. Power Sources 163 (2007) 1064–1069.
- [7] G. Arnold, J. Garche, R. Hemmer, S. Strobele, C. Vogler, M. Wohlfahrt-Mehrens, J. Power Sources 119–121 (2003) 247–251.
- [8] A.K. Padhi, K.S. Nanjundaswamy, J.B. Goodenough, J. Electrochem. Soc. 144 (1997) 1188.
- [9] H.C. Shin, W.I. Cho, H. Jang, J. Power Sources 159 (2006) 1383-1388.
- [10] Y. Xia, M. Yoshio, H. Noguchi, Electrochim. Acta 52 (2006) 240–245.
- [11] D.H. Kim, J.K. Kim, J. Phys. Chem. Solid 68 (2007) 734–737.
 [12] H. Liu, Q. Cao, L.J. Fu, C. Li, Y.P. Wu, H.Q. Wu, Electrochem. Commun. 8 (2006)
- 123 H. Eli, Q. Cao, E.J. Fu, C. El, Y.F. Wu, H.Q. Wu, Electrochem. Commun. 8 (2006) 1553.
- [13] G. Wang, Y. Cheng, M. Yan, Z. Jiang, J. Solid State Electrochem. 11 (2007) 457–462.
 [14] C.H. Mi, Y.X. Cao, X.G. Zhang, X.B. Zhao, H.L. Li, Powder Technol. 179 (2007) 171–176.
- [15] G.R. Hu, X.G. Gao, Z.D. Peng, K. Du, X.Y. Tan, Y.J. Liu, Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China 17 (2007) 296–300.
- [16] G.X. Wang, S. Bewlay, S.A. Needham, H.K. Liu, R.S. Liu, V.A. Drozd, J.-F. Lee, J.M. Chen, J. Electrochem. Soc. 153 (2006) A25–A31.
- [17] M.S. Islam, D.J. Driscoll, C.A.J. Fisher, P.R. Slater, Chem. Mater. 17 (2005) 5085–5092.
- [18] J.M. Tarascon, M. Armand, Nature 414 (2001) 359–367.
- [19] S.H. Wu, K.M. Hsiao, W.R. Liu, J. Power Sources 146 (2005) 550-554.
- [20] T. Nakamura, K. Sakumoto, M. Okamoto, S. Seki, Y. Kobayashi, T. Takeuchi, M. Tabuchi, Y. Yamada, J. Power Sources 174 (2007) 435–441.
- [21] G.X. Wang, S. Bewlay, J. Yao, J.H. Ahn, S.X. Dou, H.K. Liu, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 7 (2004) A503–A506.